

Guidelines for planning curricula in teacher education to support coherence

Coherence of theory and practice

- How does our study program support student teachers' professional development in applying theory into practice?
- How do the educational sciences, especially research methods studies, facilitate students' critical stance in their profession and in developing teaching practice?

Opportunity to analyze practice

- How does our study program support the reading, analysing and discussing 'broad' educational theories that are relevant for teaching and supporting learning in the classroom?
- How does our study program encourage students to reflect upon the ways their conceptual understanding of teaching and learning is developing during their study program?
- How does our study program encourage students to connect theories/concepts from one class to another within the same course?

Opportunity to enact practice

- How does our study program help students to plan teaching, implement their plans in class, practice assessment, and examine actual teaching materials?

Teacher self-efficacy

- How does our study program enhance the students' sense of self-efficacy in classroom management?
- How does our study program support the students' sense of self-efficacy in terms of being prepared to applying theoretical knowledge into teaching practice?

Vision of teacher education program

- What is the structure of our study program?
- What is the role of different stakeholders in different teacher education departments, subject departments, and schools in which teacher training practices are taking place?
- How do different stakeholders see and articulate the vision of teacher education program?

Sources used in designing the survey and as a basis for the guidelines presented above

Canrinus, E. T., Bergem, O. K., Klette, K., & Hammerness, K. (2017). Coherent teacher education programmes: Taking a student perspective. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 49(3), 313-333. DOI: 10.1080/00220272.2015.1124145

Canrinus, E. T., Klette, K., & Hammerness, K. (2019). Diversity in coherence: Strengths and opportunities of three programs. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 70(3), 192-205. DOI: 10.1177/0022487117737305

Cavanna JM., Molloy Elreda L., Youngs P., Pippin J. (2020). How Methods Instructors and Program Administrators Promote Teacher Education Program Coherence. *Journal of Teacher Education*. 2021;72(1):27-41. doi:10.1177/0022487119897005

Goh, P. S. C., & Yusuf, Q. (2017). Validation of the Malaysian version of the teacher education program coherence questionnaire. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education (Online)*, 42(12), 42–59.

Goh, P. S. C., Canrinus, E. T., & Wong, K. T. (2020). Preservice teachers' perspectives about coherence in their teacher education program. *Educational studies*, 46(3), 368–384.

Grossman, P., Hammerness, K. M., McDonald, M., & Ronfeldt, M. (2008). Constructing coherence: Structural predictors of perceptions of coherence in NYC teacher education programs. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 59(4), 273–287.

Hammerness, K. (2014). Visions of good teaching. In S. FeimanNemser, E. Tamir, & K. Klassen, R. M., Bong, M., Usher, E. L., Chong, W. H., Huan, V. S., Wong, I. Y., & Georgiou, T. (2009). Exploring the validity of the teachers' self-efficacy in five countries. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 34, 67–76.

Hellmann, K., & Zaki, K. (2018). Kohärenz in der Lehrerbildung-Modelle und Konzepte am Standort Freiburg. *Kohärenz in der universitären Lehrerbildung-Vernetzung von Fachwissenschaft, Fachdidaktik und Bildungswissenschaften*, 355-384.

Tschannen-Moran, M., & Woolfolk Hoy, A. (2001). Teacher efficacy: Capturing an elusive construct. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 17, 783–805.